



USG and Department of Defense Efforts to Secure the Battery Defense Industrial Base



NATTBatt 2025

February 20, 2025

Mr. Eric Shields, Senior Battery Advisor OASD, Industrial Base Policy

eric.b.shields.civ@mail.mil 571-309-7593



Wartime Implications of Li-ion Use



Ukraine

Ukraine says it could make 2m drones a year with financial help from west

Digital minister says country could double production but does not have money to contract for manufacturers' full capability

• Ukraine war - live updates





Note: Diagram is schematic Source: Sparrow Avia Jemal R. Brinson/THE WALL STREET JOURNAL [1]

🗅 A serviceman launches a Ukrainian mid-range reconnaissance drone near Bakhmut, Donetsk

A commercial drone with a ~4lb payload can fly ~2 miles on a 300Wh battery ^[2]

A demand of 2 million drones each requiring a 300Wh li-ion battery would represent ~100% of DOD's total annual battery demand and >1,000% of DOD annual li-ion needs





	Dynamic Policy, Investment and Trade Environment	D	ynamic EV and Battery
•	Sep 2024 – Dept. of Commerce proposes ban on Chinese EVs	•	Jan 2023 – BritishVolt file
•	Oct 2024 – China sanctions US defense firms	•	Jun 2023 - Lordstown Mo
•	Dec 2024 – China sanctions 7 more US companies in responses to	•	Nov 2023 – Proterra files
	NDAA	•	Jun 2024 – Fisker files for
•	Jan 2025 – China sanctions 28 more US companies to "safeguard	•	Nov 2024 – NorthVolt file
	national security and interests.	•	Dec 2024 - E-One Moli Va
•	Jan 2025 – China proposes export controls on cathode and lithium processing technology	•	Jan 2025 – Canoo files fo
•	Jan 2025 – Dept. of Commerce proposes ban on Chinese LIAVs	•	Jan 2025 – iM3NY files fo
	(comments due March 4 025)	•	Feb 2025 - KORE no long
•	Jan 2025– DoD Office of Strategic Capital announces opportunity for loans (\$10M-150M) to include energy storage technologies (~\$980M)		Gigatactory
		•	Feb 2025 – Nikola consid
		•	Feb 2025 – Freyr Cancels
•	Jan 2025– DoD expands 1260H List to include CATL and others		

Jan 2025- Dept. of Energy releases new Notice of Intent to fund critical minerals and cell pilot line proposals (\$725M)

Production

- es for bankruptcy
- otors files for bankruptcy
- for bankruptcy
- r bankruptcy
- es for bankruptcy
- ancouver Gigafactory on hold
- or bankruptcy
- or bankruptcy
- per building Arizona
- lers bankruptcy
- s Georgia gigafactory





U.S. Government Actions



U.S. and Allied Tariffs



U.S. Tariffs (June 2024)	EU Tariffs (October 2024)					
Product Category	Rate Change (effective date)	\equiv programs \sim Experts $CSIS$ CENTER FOR STRATEGIC $_{6}$ Regions \sim Topics \sim Q	. SAIC	35.39		
Electric vehicles	Increase from 25% to 100% (2024)	Slamming the Brakes: The EU Votes to Impose Tariffs on Chinese EVs	Other non-cooperating companies	35.3%		
Batteries, Battery Components and Parts, a						
Battery parts (non-lithium-ion batteries)	Increase from 7.5% to 25% (2024)	676666690C	Other cooperating companies	20.7%		
Lithium-ion electrical vehicle batteries	Increase from 7.5% to 25% (2024)					
Natural graphite	Increase from 0% to 25% (2026)	10/10/10/10/00 PM	Geely	18.8%		
Permanent magnets	Increase from 0% to 25% (2026)					
Semiconductors	Increase from 25% to 50% (2025)		BYD	17%		
Other critical minerals	Increase from 0% to 25% (2024)	Phote: STR/AFP via Getty Images				
Medical Products	I X in Im Biog Post by Pyar Featherston Image: Comparison of the part of the	Tesla (China)	7.8%			
Facemasks	Increase from 0-7.5% to 25% (2024)	Published December 18, 2024 Participation Provided Provid	0%	5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35%		
Syringes and needles	Increase from 0% to 50% (2024)	On October 4, EU member states approved tariffs on Chinese electric Economies	Source: European Commission	CSIS TRUSTEE CHAIR IN CHINESE BUSINESS & ECONOM		
Medical gloves	Increase from 7.5% to 25% (2026)					
Ship to shore cranes	Increase from 0% to 25% (2024)	Reuters World Canadi	an Tariffs (A	ugust 2024)		
Solar cells (whether or not assembled into modules)	Increase from 25% to 50% (2024)	Autos & Transportation ADAS, AV & Safety EV Battery Sustainable & EV Supply Chain Regulatory & Policy				
Steel and aluminum products (including steel- and aluminum-intensive products) Increase from 0-7.5% to 25% (2024)		EVs, including Teslas				
		By Promit Mukheriee and Akash Sriram				

August 27, 2024 3:35 AM EDT · Updated a month ago

Д Aa

<

40%

NOMICS







https://www.energy.gov/mesc/articles/federal-consortium-advanced-batteries-fcab-publications



Recent DOE And DPA Title III Project Awards





September 20 - DOE FOA II Battery Project Selections

- Li-ion recycling
- Cell production
- Lithium metal anodes
- Graphite from black mass
- Lithium brine extraction
- Graphite anode production
- Separator production
- Conductive additives
- Silicon based anodes

- Electrolyte salt
- Iron phosphate
- Nickel processing
- Synthetic graphite
- Solid state batteries
- CAM production
- Carbonate solvents
- Manganese sulfate
- Iron air batteries

FY24 DPA Title III Project Selections

- SEP 24 Nano One LFP investment \$12.9M (Canada)
- SEP 24 Rare earth Recycling project \$4.2M
- AUG 24 Department of Defense Expands Workforce
 Development in Extractive Technologies \$6.56 Million
- AUG 24 Domestic Lithium Carbonate Thacker Pass Project - \$11.8M
- AUG 24 Ontario Cobalt Sulfate Refinery Project \$20M

- MAY 24 South32: \$20M for battery-grade manganese mining
- MAY 24 Fortune Minerals: \$6.4M for cobalt and bismuth mining
- MAY 24 Lomiko Metals: \$8.3M for natural flake graphite mining and spherical graphite battery testing
- MAR 24 Doe Run: \$7M for hydrometallurgical plant for separation of cobalt and nickel



Standardization & Guiding Strategic Documents



<u>2021 – National Blueprint for Lithium Batteries -</u>...Develop form-fit-function battery standards for defense, EV, and grid applications ...Develop a federal policy framework for supporting U.S. companies manufacturing of electrodes, cells, and packs domestically and that encourage demand growth for lithium-ion batteries <u>2022 - Securing Defense-Critical Supply Chains</u>

"Aggregate demand: Since each program consumes a small portion of total demand, it is difficult for industry to anticipate the number of orders from year to year. DoD can better signal to industry what the likely total demand is across multiple programs in the near term."

2023- DoD Lithium Battery Strategy 2023-2030

"The DoD must make significant investments in standardization of military batteries and cells over the next five to ten years to avoid substantial cost and availability risks for future high-volume battery needs. Standardization is the near-term opportunity for the DoD to reduce the types of batteries and aggregate battery demand"

<u>2024 – National Defense Industrial Strategy</u>

"To mitigate the risks of unnecessary customization, the DoD seeks an intelligent balance between customization and standardization...Increasing standardization allows for economies of scale, streamlined production processes, and greater interoperability."

2025-2026 – Forward-looking Updates:

- Revised National Blueprint for Lithium Batteries
- Potential Executive Order on supply chains?
- Update to the National Defense Strategy
- Update to the DoD Lithium Battery Strategy

August 2024 -USD(A&S) signed out a memo directing DoD Services to assess existing battery standardization policies for opportunities for improvement and to reduce the proliferation of unique battery designs in DoD.



DoD Lithium Battery Strategy 2023-2030



Strategy Objectives:

- Provide DoD program offices with safe, effective, affordable, and **standard** energy storage options
- Ensure access to battery systems when the supply chain is threatened
- Reduce the total time required to develop, certify, and field advanced energy storage-enabled systems
- Reduce the logistics burden associated with fielding advanced batteries to the warfighter



*Target of Q2 FY26 for DoD Battery Strategy Revision



SEC. 883. PROCUREMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BATTERIES (FY25 NDAA)



(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) coordinate a Department of Defense-wide approach to establishing a battery strategy to further leverage the advancements of domestic and allied commercial industry with respect to batteries; and

(2) in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments and the other relevant elements of the Department of Defense, identify mechanisms for measuring and addressing risks to the defense supply chain, diminishing manufacturing capability, and material shortages for legacy system batteries by transitioning the Department to safer batteries with higher energy capabilities with supply chain growth.

(b) LEGACY BATTERY STRATEGY CONTENTS.—The strategy established pursuant to subsection (a)(1) strategy shall include the following:

(1) The establishment of a Department of Defense-wide accounting of advanced batteries for current and future applications, including obsolete batteries in existing systems, and improved mechanisms for aligning the battery procurement requirements across the Department.

(2) Requirements for the supply chain for batteries for the Department of Defense to enable to Department to leverage advancements by domestic industry and industry located in allies of the United States with respect to batteries.

(3) The application of the requirements described in paragraph (2) to the near-term, mid-term, and long-term horizons of the Department.

(4) Creating a Department of Defense-wide Science and Technology battery strategy, in coordination with the military services, to define an approach, technical targets, and link into procurement

(5) Consideration of the existing battery strategies completed by the services.

(6) A determination of how the military services can standardize the battery systems across the existing and future programs of such Armed Service.

(7) Identify obstacles with respect to the raw materials required to achieve the goals of the strategy established pursuant to subsection (a)(1) and determine ways to overcome such obstacles, including through the Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment program of the Department of Defense and the use of authorities under the Defense Production Act (50 U.S.C. 4501 et seq.).

(8) Processes and guidelines for rapid testing and certification to field batteries.

(9) A discussion of the workforce challenges, if any, that may inhibit the Department of Defense from achieving the goals of the strategy established pursuant to subsection (a)(1). (c) BRIEFINGS AND FINAL REPORT.—

(1) INITIAL BRIEFING.—Not later than 180 days after enactment, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments and the other relevant elements of the Department of Defense, shall brief the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the approach to establishing the strategy described in subsection (a)(1).

(2) UPDATE BRIEFINGS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the briefing under paragraph

(1), and not less frequently than every 6 months thereafter until the strategy described in subsection (a)(1) is established, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments and the other relevant elements of the Department of Defense, shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a briefing on the status of the establishment of such strategy.

(3) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than September 30, 2026, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments and the other relevant elements of the Department of Defense, shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a final report on the establishment of the strategy pursuant to subsection (a).

(d) MILITARY DEPARTMENT DEFINED.—In this section, the term "military department" has the meaning given such term in section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code.





- 1. Modern militaries are utilizing li-ion powered systems because they are the most combat effective
- 2. The global lithium battery landscape is dynamic and unpredictable
- 3. The U.S. Government is taking coordinated action through policy and investment to enable secure battery supply chains and DoD is heavily leveraging the good work done within partner agencies to ensure the warfighter has assured access to advanced battery technology